

# Breaking of Large Amplitude Electron Plasma Wave in a Maxwellian Plasma

Arghya Mukherjee<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Sudip Sengupta<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Plasma Research, Gandhinagar, India, 382428*

<sup>2</sup>*Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex,  
Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400085, India*

## Abstract

The determination of maximum possible amplitude of a coherent longitudinal plasma oscillation/wave is a topic of fundamental importance in non-linear plasma physics. The amplitudes of these large amplitude plasma waves is limited by a phenomena called wave breaking which may be induced by several non-linear processes. It was shown by Coffey [T. P. Coffey, Phys. Fluids **14**, 1402 (1971)] using a “water-bag” distribution for electrons that, in a warm plasma the maximum electric field amplitude and density amplitude implicitly depend on the electron temperature, known as Coffey’s limit. In this paper, the breaking of large amplitude freely running electron plasma wave in a homogeneous warm plasma where electron’s velocity distribution is Maxwellian has been studied numerically using 1D Particle in Cell (PIC) simulation method. It is found that Coffey’s propagating wave solutions, which was derived using a “water-bag” distribution for electrons, also represent propagating waves in a Maxwellian plasma. Coffey’s wave breaking limit is also verified by loading Maxwellian velocity distributions of different initial temperatures. A clear correspondence between wave breaking and particle trapping is presented. Our simulation results are also compared with the experimental results of B. S. Bauer, A. Y. Wong, V. K. Decyk and G. Resenthal [Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3706 (1992)].

---

\* [arghya@ipr.res.in](mailto:arghya@ipr.res.in)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The breaking of large amplitude electron plasma waves/oscillations has been receiving a great attention since 1959 due to its basic interest and practical importance [1–3]. It has wide applications to some current research problems ranging from laboratory plasma to astrophysical plasma where breaking of large amplitude electron plasma waves are consistently encountered[4–16]. For example, recent experiments on plasma acceleration [4–13] by laser and particle beam have shown that the breaking of excited plasma oscillations/waves plays a major role in the particle acceleration process. Wave breaking is also important for first ignitor concept in inertial confinement thermonuclear fusion[17, 18]. The concept of wave breaking in a cold homogeneous plasma was introduced by Dawson[19], where thermal motion was neglected and ions were fixed. Dawson demonstrated that the amplitude of applied perturbation can not be increased beyond a critical limit, known as wave breaking limit, as the trajectory of the neighbouring electrons constituting the oscillation/wave start to cross each other beyond this limit. This results in fine scale mixing of various parts of the oscillation which destroys the oscillation/wave[20–22]. But, when non-linear density perturbations are excited in a large amplitude plasma wave, thermal effects may become important as the electron thermal pressure may not allow the density compression to build up as predicted by the simple cold plasma fluid model. In 1971, Coffey[23] investigated this phenomena for electron plasma wave in a warm plasma by using the simplest distribution *i.e.* “water-bag” distribution [24–26] for electrons. Unlike in the cold plasma case where the wave-breaking limit is defined by trajectory crossing, in the case of warm plasma Coffey defined wave breaking as the trapping of background plasma electrons in the wave potential. An analytical expression for the maximum electric field amplitude and density amplitude as a function of the electron temperature has been derived which shows that temperature effects significantly reduces the wave breaking limit[23].

Verification of this effect of plasma temperature in reducing the wave breaking amplitude had been attempted by Kruer[27], Mulser[28] and Bergmann *et al.*[29] using 1D Vlasov simulation, for resonantly driven plasma wave in an inhomogeneous plasma. The authors in Ref. [29] concluded that Coffey’s limit can not be applied for resonantly excited waves. Here we want to emphasize that Coffey’s limit was derived for a propagating wave using

homogeneous plasma approximation and verification of Coffey's limit for a freely running electron plasma wave in a homogeneous plasma where electron distribution is Maxwellian has never been carried out to the best of our knowledge. The results presented by Bergmann *et al.* [29] clearly show that, when a small fraction of particles are trapped in the wave potential, the periodic structure of the wave is still unaffected and wave does not break. This periodicity dies out when a large number of particles are get trapped. So, the pertinent question is, how to quantify this number, such that if particles greater than this number get trapped the wave will break?

In a recent paper, Trines *et al.*[30] has answered this question by giving a very clear definition of wave breaking in a warm plasma. According to these authors, since in a warm plasma electrons have a distribution of velocities, therefore there always exists a small fraction of particles having velocities larger than the phase velocity of the wave. These particles obviously get trapped sooner or later by the potential; so if wave breaking is equivalent to the background particle trapping, then every longitudinal plasma wave in a warm plasma will always break. To resolve this conflict, a new quantity, electron sound speed  $s_0 = \sqrt{3kT_e/m}$  has been introduced, which is same as the upper boundary of water-bag distribution[23, 25, 26], as shown in the next section. According to Trines[30], the particles having initial velocity  $v \leq s_0$  are contributing to the wave propagation but the particles with velocity  $v > s_0$  are not contributing to the wave propagation. To break the wave the particles which are taking part in the wave motion ( $v \leq s_0$ ) has to be get trapped. Therefore, the longitudinal wave breaking in a warm plasma is defined as the trapping of background plasma electrons having an initial forward velocity  $v < s_0$ .

In this present paper, we verify Coffey's wave breaking limit for a large amplitude propagating wave in a Maxwellian plasma and study its stability towards a small amplitude longitudinal perturbation using particle in cell (PIC) simulation method[31]. In section 2 we derive an equation for a propagating wave by considering water-bag distribution for electrons. This equation is already derived in Ref. [25]. Here we present this for the sake of completeness. Maximum electric field amplitude ( $E_{max}$ ) and density amplitude ( $n_{max}$ ) as a function of electron temperature ( $kT_e$ ) is also derived in this section. In first portion of section 3 we determine the initial conditions needed to excite a large amplitude propagating

wave solution and describe how to load them in a PIC code. In the second portion of section 3 we verify two things, (i) does Coffey's limit hold for a propagating wave in a Maxwellian plasma ? and (ii) does the definition of wave breaking as given by Trines *et al.* in terms of particle trapping holds good? Finally we conclude in section 4.

## 2. THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION

In this section we briefly discuss the breaking of electron plasma wave in the framework of coupled Vlasov-Maxwell equations which can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{eE}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = 4\pi e(n_0 - n) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = 4\pi env \quad (3)$$

The exact analytical solution of the above equations using a Maxwellian distribution for electrons is mathematically complicated. Therefore, here we start from a water-bag distribution ( $f(x, v)$ ) as used in the Refs. [23, 25]. According to this model, electron distribution function is constant in a bounded region ( $-v_0 \leq v \leq v_0$  at  $t = 0$ ,  $v_- \leq v \leq v_+$  at  $t \neq 0$ ) of phase space and is zero outside this region. Therefore it is sufficient to solve the equations at the boundaries of the phase space. For a water-bag distribution the heat flux is zero [1] so that there is a closure to the hierarchy of the moments of the Vlasov equation. The value of  $f$  is determined by  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f dv = n_0$ , which gives  $f = n_0/2v_0$  and the value of  $v_0$  is determined by requiring that the second order moment of water-bag distribution be as same as that for a Maxwellian distribution *i.e*  $\left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^2 f dv \right]_{water-bag} = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^2 f dv \right]_{Maxwellian}$  which yields,  $v_0 = \sqrt{3kT_e/m}$ . Now the density and fluid velocity at any instant are respectively given by [25]

$$n(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f dv = \frac{n_0}{2v_0}(v_+ - v_-) \quad (4)$$

$$V(x, t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f v dv}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f dv} = \frac{(v_+ + v_-)}{2} \quad (5)$$

Solving Eqs.(4) and (5),  $v_+$  and  $v_-$  can be written in terms of fluid velocity  $V$  and density  $n$  as [25]

$$v_{\pm} = V \pm v_0 \frac{n}{n_0}$$

The zeroth and first order moment of the Vlasov equation respectively give [25]

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(nV) = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + V \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -\frac{eE}{m} - \frac{kT_e}{mn} \frac{\partial n^3}{\partial x n_0^2} \quad (7)$$

From the above equation it is clear that pressure  $P = kT_e n^3 / mn_0^2$ . So the adiabatic equation of state is valid here. As we are looking for wavelike solutions, all fluid variables viz. density( $n$ ), velocity( $V$ ) and electric field( $E$ ) are functions of a single variable  $\psi = x - v_\phi t$ ,  $v_\phi$  is the phase velocity of the wave. Putting  $\partial/\partial x = \partial/\partial\psi$  and  $\partial/\partial t = -v_\phi(\partial/\partial\psi)$ , Eqs. (7), (3) and (2) respectively become

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi} \left[ \frac{V}{v_\phi} - \frac{V^2}{2v_\phi^2} - \frac{v_0^2 n^2}{2v_\phi^2 n_0^2} \right] = \frac{eE}{mv_\phi^2} \quad (8)$$

$$v_\phi \frac{\partial E}{\partial\psi} + 4\pi enV = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial\psi} + 4\pi e(n - n_0) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Eliminating  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial\psi}$  from Eqs.(9) and (10), the expression for density  $n$  can be written as

$$n = \frac{n_0}{1 - \alpha} \quad (11)$$

where  $\alpha = V/v_\phi$ . Putting the value of  $n$  from Eq.(11), Eq.(8) modifies to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi} \left[ \alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_0^2}{v_\phi^2} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)^2} \right] = \frac{eE}{mv_\phi^2} \quad (12)$$

Differentiating the above Eq.(12) and using Ampere's Law, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^2} \left[ \alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_0^2}{v_\phi^2} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^2} \right] = -\frac{\omega_p^2}{v_\phi^2} \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \quad (13)$$

This equation [25] describes the evolution of electron plasma wave in its rest frame when the electron distribution is taken as water-bag distribution. This equation can not be solved analytically. The numerical solution of this equation with proper choice of initial conditions are presented in the next section. Now we find the value of maximum electric field  $E_{max}$  supported by this electron plasma wave in the following way:

Representing  $E = -\partial\Phi/\partial x = -\partial\Phi/\partial\psi$  and integrating Eq.(12), we get [32]

$$\frac{2e\Phi}{mv_\phi^2} = (1-\alpha)^2 - 1 - \beta + \frac{\beta}{(1-\alpha)^2} \quad (14)$$

where we have used  $\Phi = 0$  at  $\alpha = 0$ .

Here  $\beta = v_0^2/v_\phi^2$ . We determine the extremum potential  $\Phi_{max}$  by the condition  $\partial\Phi/\partial\alpha = 0$ , which gives [32]

$$\frac{2e\Phi_{max}}{mv_\phi^2} = -(1-\beta^{1/2})^2$$

for a critical value of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_{cr} = 1 - \beta^{1/4}$ . Multiplying Eq.(10) by  $\partial\Phi/\partial\psi$  and using Eq.(14), we get [32]

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi} \right)^2 + 4\pi mn_0 v_\phi^2 \left[ \alpha^2 - 2\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{3(1-\alpha)^3} - \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)^2} \right\} \right] = \\ 4\pi mn_0 v_\phi^2 \left[ (1-\beta^{1/4})^2 - 2\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{3\beta^{3/4}} - \frac{1}{2\beta^{1/2}} \right\} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The value of the integration constant has been evaluated by noting that  $\partial\Phi/\partial\psi = 0$  where  $\Phi = \Phi_{max}$  i.e  $\alpha = \alpha_{cr}$ . The maximum electric field ( $E_{max} = -(\partial\Phi/\partial\psi)_{max}$ ) occurs when  $\Phi = \alpha = 0$ . Using this condition we finally get

$$\frac{eE_{max}}{m\omega_p v_\phi} = \pm \left( 1 + 2\beta^{1/2} - \frac{8}{3}\beta^{1/4} - \frac{\beta}{3} \right)^{1/2} \quad (16)$$

Now, putting the value of  $\alpha_{cr}$  into Eq.(11), the maximum possible density amplitude as

a function of electron temperature  $T_e$  can be written as

$$\left(\frac{n}{n_0}\right)_{max} = \beta^{-1/4} \quad (17)$$

Eqs.(16) and (17) are known as Coffey's limit[23, 25]. In the next section we will verify these limits for a large amplitude propagating wave in a Maxwellian plasma using PIC simulation.

### 3. PARTICLE-IN-CELL SIMULATION

Here we carry out a 1D PIC simulation with periodic boundary conditions in order to study the evolution and breaking of large amplitude freely running electron plasma wave in a homogeneous Maxwellian Plasma.

#### 3.1. Simulation Model

Before starting simulation, in this section, we compute the initial profiles needed to excite a freely running electron plasma wave in a Maxwellian plasma. As mentioned in the previous section, the equation representing the evolution of the wave in its own rest frame is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{\psi}^2} \left[ \alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_0^2}{v_\phi^2} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)^2} \right] = -\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \quad (18)$$

Here,  $\bar{\psi} = \omega_p \psi / v_\phi$ . To solve Eq.(18) two initial conditions *i.e*  $\alpha|_{\bar{\psi}=0}$  and  $\frac{d\alpha}{d\bar{\psi}}|_{\bar{\psi}=0}$  are needed. These have been found out in the following way: Let us take a choice that at  $\bar{\psi} = 0$ ,  $n = n_0$ , which gives  $\alpha|_{\bar{\psi}=0} = 0$  [from Eq. (11)]. Another initial condition can be found by putting the value of  $E(-\partial\Phi/\partial\psi)$  from Eq.(15) into Eq.(12), which gives  $\frac{d\alpha}{d\bar{\psi}}|_{\bar{\psi}=0} = -\Delta E_{max}/(1 - \beta)$ . Here,  $\Delta = E/E_{max}$  decides the amplitude of the wave. As expected from theory[23, 25], the wave should propagate without any damping or distortion for all values of  $\Delta \leq 1$ . Now at  $t = 0$ ,  $\bar{\psi} = x$  ( $x$  is normalised to  $x\omega_p/v_\phi$ ). Therefore the solution of Eq.(18) for the values of  $\bar{\psi}$  from 0 to  $L_p$  (wavelength of the wave) gives the profile of  $\alpha$  *i.e*  $V/v_\alpha$  at  $t = 0$ . The density profile at  $t = 0$  can easily be found by putting the value of  $\alpha$  in Eq.(11). Thus by changing the values of  $\beta$  and  $\Delta$  different initial profiles required to excite a freely running large amplitude electron plasma wave can be generated and their

space-time evolution (in the laboratory frame) can be found by loading them in a PIC code. We use these numerically found density and velocity profiles as initial excitation in our PIC code to verify the Coffey's limit. In addition to this a finite temperature( $T_e$ ) is also added to the electrons by loading a Maxwellian velocity distribution

$$f(V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v_{th}^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{V^2}{2v_{th}^2}\right)$$

Here  $v_{th}^2 = \beta/3 = kT_e/m$ .

Our simulation parameters are as follows: total number of particles  $N_p = 40000$ , number of grid points  $N_G = 512$ , time step  $\delta t = \pi/160$  and system length  $L = L_p$ , wavelength of the system, which depends on both  $\Delta$  and  $\beta$ . Here we use periodic boundary conditions. The normalisations are chosen to be  $x \rightarrow x\omega_p/v_\phi$ ,  $t \rightarrow \omega_p t$ ,  $n \rightarrow n/n_0$ ,  $v \rightarrow V/v_\phi$ ,  $v_{th} \rightarrow v_{th}/v_\phi$ ,  $E \rightarrow eE/m\omega_p v_\phi$  and  $\Phi \rightarrow e\Phi/mv_\phi^2$ .

### 3.2. Results from Simulation and Its Interpretation

In Figs. 1 - 6 we have shown the space time evolution of electric field of a freely running electron plasma wave in a Maxwellian plasma for different values of  $\Delta$  for a long period of time.  $\beta$  is taken as 0.1 and thermal speed of electrons ( $v_{th}$ ) is equal to  $(\beta/3)^{1/2}$ . In Figs. 1, 3 and 5 the values of  $\Delta$  are respectively taken as 0.2, 0.5 and 0.98. Their response to a small amplitude longitudinal perturbation are respectively presented in Figs. 2, 4 and 6 (In the later figures, the electric field profile without perturbation for same  $\Delta$  value at  $t = 0$  is presented by the blue line and red lines are showing the electric field profile with perturbation). The Figs. 1 - 5 clearly show that the wave is propagating without losing periodicity throughout the system since the maximum electric field amplitude is below the wave breaking limit [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi = 0.3219$  for  $\beta = 0.1$ , from Eq.(16)]. For the values of  $\Delta = 0.2$  and 0.5, though the wave is perturbed by a small amplitude perturbation, the maximum electric field amplitude after adding perturbation remains below the Coffey's limit, as for example,  $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi = 0.1287$  and 0.3193, after adding perturbation, for  $\Delta = 0.2$  and 0.5 respectively (measured from Figs. 2 and 4 respectively). But in Fig. 6 the response to a small amplitude perturbation for  $\Delta = 0.98$  shows that, as the maximum

electric field amplitude after adding the perturbation ( $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi = 0.3227$ , measured from Fig. 6) becomes greater than Coffey's limit ( $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi = 0.3219$ ), the periodicity vanishes and the wave breaks. As time progresses, the amplitude of the electric field decreases significantly. This has been shown by plotting the Fourier spectrum of electric field ( $E_k$ ) at different instants of time. Fig. 7 shows that as the time progresses the amplitude of the primary mode reduces significantly with the simultaneous growth in higher order modes. As a consequence some of the electrons will acquire energy from the wave and accelerate to much higher velocities. In Fig. 8 we present the evolution of particle kinetic energy and electrostatic energy of the wave upto  $\sim 1000$  plasma periods and find that as time progresses the wave energy reduces and energetic particles are produced which is a signature of wave breaking as has been reported by several authors[5–7, 29, 33]. We have repeated the above simulations for various other values of  $\beta$  and measured the maximum electric field ( $E_{max}$ ) and density amplitude ( $n_{max}$ ) from simulation data. Fig. 9 shows the values of  $E_{max}$  and  $n_{max}$  for different values of  $\beta$ . Here red points are the simulation results and continuous blue lines are the theoretical limit given by Eqs.(16) and (17). These results clearly show that Coffey's limit is valid for a freely running electron plasma wave in a Maxwellian plasma.

Now we verify the definition of wave breaking in a warm plasma given by Trines *et al.*[30] in terms of particle trapping in the following way: We know that the particles having wave frame energy smaller than the wave potential will be trapped by the wave which mathematically can be written as

$$-e\Phi_{wave} \geq \frac{m_e}{2}(v - v_\phi)^2 \quad (19)$$

Here we calculate a critical velocity ( $v_{cr}$ ) by taking a equal sign in Eq.(19) such that the particles having velocity greater than this critical velocity will be trapped by the wave, since the condition given by Eq.(19) will be satisfied for them. The expression for this critical velocity is given by

$$v_{cr} = \sqrt{\frac{-2e\Phi_{wave}}{m_e}} + v_\phi \quad (20)$$

To verify Trines's argument[30], here we have presented (Figs. 10 - 12) the evolution of electron velocity distribution function (EVDF) with time for three different values of  $\Delta$

keeping  $\beta$  fixed at 0.1. In Figs. 10 and 11 the values of  $\Delta$  are respectively taken as 0.5 and 0.98, the maximum electric field amplitude being below Coffey's wave breaking limit ( $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi = 0.3219$  for  $\beta = 0.1$ ). Fig. 12 corresponds to the electron plasma wave with amplitude  $\Delta = 0.98$ , perturbed by a small amplitude longitudinal perturbation such that the maximum electric field amplitude after adding perturbation ( $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi = 0.3227$ ) becomes larger than the Coffey's limit. In Figs. 10 - 12,  $v_{cr}$  is shown by a vertical red line, so that the particles on the right side of this red line are trapped since the condition given by Eq.(19) is satisfied for them. A black vertical line is also drawn at electron sound speed  $s_0$  (or  $v_0$ ). As mentioned by Trines *et al.*[30], it should be noted here, that the particles which are situated on the left of the vertical black line are taking part in the wave motion ( $v < s_0$ ). The evolution of EVDF in Figs. 10 and 11 show that when the maximum electric field amplitude is below the Coffey's limit the particles which are effectively taking part in wave motion ( $v < s_0$ ) are not trapped by the wave potential. Therefore the wave propagates without any distortion or damping. But the results shown in Fig. 12 show that when the maximum electric field amplitude is larger than Coffey's limit, the vertical red line with time moves towards the body of the distribution and stays on the left side of the vertical black line for all instants of time, which implies that some fraction of particles which are effectively taking part in the wave motion are getting trapped by the wave (the particles between the vertical red line and vertical black line of the distribution). Therefore the wave loses its periodic character and eventually dies out. So the kinetic picture of wave breaking given by Trines *et al.* is also verified in our simulations.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The breaking of large amplitude electron plasma wave in a warm plasma has been studied where electron's velocity distribution is taken as Maxwellian. It is shown by PIC simulation that Coffey's propagating wave solution which was derived by using a Water Bag distribution for electrons also represents a propagating wave in a Maxwellian plasma. Therefore Coffey's limit [23] is valid for a propagating wave in a Maxwellian plasma as shown in this paper. It is also shown that, when the particles having forward initial velocity  $v < s_0$  are get trapped, the wave breaks. Some early evidence of our simulation results can be seen in the experiment on the breaking of super-strong electron plasma wave by Bauer *et al.* [33].

Their experimental results clearly show that, the velocity required for wave breaking criteria is given by  $v_{wb}/v_\phi = 1 - [1 - (1 - u_{max}/v_\phi)^2]^{1/2}$  (Eq.2 in Ref. [33]), where  $u_{max}$  is the maximum fluid velocity. Taking  $u_{max} = \beta = 0.1$ , the above equation gives  $v_{wb} \approx 1.48v_{th}$ . From our simulation results presented in Fig. 12, we observe that the red vertical line appears at  $v \approx 1.53v_{th}$ . Therefore the explanation of the experimental results given by Bauer *et al.*[33], which is based on the above equation closely matches our simulations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author A. Mukherjee would like to thank Rupendra S. Rajawat for numerical help.

- 
- [1] *Methods in Nonlinear Plasma Theory*, R. C. Davidson, Academic (1972).
  - [2] *The Physics of Laser Plasma Interactions*, W. L. Kruer, Frontiers of Physics.
  - [3] *Short Pulse Laser Interactions with Matter: An Introduction*, Paul Gibbon, Imperial College Press, London (2005).
  - [4] Tajima and Dawson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 267 (1979).
  - [5] A Modena, Z Najmudin, A. E. Dangor, C. E. Clayton, K. A. Marsh , C Joshi, V Malka, C. B. Darrow, C Danson, D Neely and F. N. Walsh, Nature **377**, 606 (1995).
  - [6] D Gordon, K. C. Tzeng, C. E. Clayton, A. E. Dangor, V Malka, K. A. Marsh, A Modena, W. B. Mori, P Muggli, Z Najmudin, D Neely, C Danson, and C Joshi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2133 (1998).
  - [7] V Malka, S Fritzler, E Lefebvre, M. M. Aleonard, F Burgy, J. P. Chambaret, J. F. Chemin, K Krushelnick, G Malka, S. P. D. Mangles, Z Najmudin, M Pittman, J. P. Rousseau, J. N. Scheurer, B Walton and A. E. Dangor, Science **298**, 1596 (2002).
  - [8] B. M. Hegelich, B. J. Albright, J Cobble, K Flippo, S Letzring, M Paffett, H Ruhl, J Schreiber, R. K. Schulze and J. C. Fernández, Nature **439**, 441 (2006).
  - [9] H Schwoerer, S Pfotenhauer, O Jäckel, K. U. Amthor, B Liesfeld, W Ziegler, R Sauerberg, K. W. D Ledingham and T Esirkepov, Nature **439**, 445 (2006).
  - [10] J Faure, C Rechatin, A Norlin, A Lifschitz, Y Glinec and V Malka, Nature(London) **444**, 737 (2006).

- [11] N. H. Matlis, S Reed, S. S. Bulanov, V Chvykov, G Kalintchenko, T Matsouka, P Rousseau, V Yanovsky, A Maksimchuk, S kalmykov, G Shvets and M. C. Downer, Nature Physics **2**, 749 (2006).
- [12] C Rechatin, J Faure, A Ben-Ismaïl, J Lim, R Fitour, A Speckam, H Videau, A Tafzi, F Burgy and V Malka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 164801 (2009).
- [13] E Esarey, C. B. Schroeder and W. P. Leemans, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1229 (2009).
- [14] G. J. J. Botha, T. N. Arber, V. M. Nakariakov, F. P. Keenan, Astron. Astrophysics. **363**, 1186 (2000).
- [15] Y Voitenko, J Andries, P. D. Copil and M Goossens, Astron. Astrophysics. **437**, L47 (2005).
- [16] Sayak Bose, P. K. Chattopadhyay, J. Ghosha, S. Sengupta, Y. C. Saxena and R. Pal, J. Plasma Physics, **81**, 345810203 (2015)
- [17] Max Tabak, J Hammer, Michael E Glinsky , William L Kruer , Scott C Wilks, John Woodworth, Michael E Campbell, Michael D Perry and Rodney J Mason, Phys. Plasmas **1**, 1626 (1994).
- [18] R Kodama, P. A. Norreys, K Mima, A. E. Dangor, R. G. Evans, H Fujita, Y Kitagawa, K Krushelnick, T Miyakoshi, N Miyanaga, T Norimatsu, S. J. Rose, T Shozaki, K Shigemori, A Sunahara, M Tampo, K. A. Tanaka, Y Toyama, T Yamanaka and M Zepf, Nature **412**, 798 (2001).
- [19] J. M. Dawson, Phys. Rev. **113**, 383 (1959).
- [20] Sudip Sengupta and Predhiman K Kaw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1867 (1999).
- [21] S Sengupta, V Saxena, P. K. Kaw, A Sen and A Das, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 026404 (2009).
- [22] S Sengupta, P Kaw, V Saxena, A Sen and A Das, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **53**, 074014 (2011).
- [23] T. P. Coffey, Phys. Fluids **14**, 1402 (1971).
- [24] D. C. DePackh, J. Electron Control **13**, 417 (1962).
- [25] W. B. Mori and T Katsouleas, Phys. Scripta **T30**, 127 (1990).
- [26] T Katsouleas and W. B. Mori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 90 (1988).
- [27] William L. Kruer, Phys. Fluids **22**, 1111 (1979).
- [28] P Mulser and H Schnabl, Laser Particle Beams **1**, 379 (1983).
- [29] A Bergmann A and P Mulser, Phys. Rev. E **47**, 3585 (1993).
- [30] R. M. G. M. Trines and P. A. Norreys, Phys. Plasmas **13**, 123102 (2006).

- [31] *Plasma Physics via Computer Simulation*, C. K. Birdsall and A. B. Langdon, Taylor nad Francis.
- [32] W. L. Kruer, Phys. Scripta **T30**, 5 (1990).
- [33] B. S. Bauer, A. Y. Wong, V. K. Decyk and G. Resenthal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3706 (1992).




FIG. 1. Space-time evolution of electric field for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.2$  without any perturbation [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is below Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 2. Space-time evolution of electric field for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.2$  with a small amplitude perturbation [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is below Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 3. Space-time evolution of electric field for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.5$  without any perturbation [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is below Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 4. Space-time evolution of electric field for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.5$  with a small amplitude perturbation [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is below Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 5. Space-time evolution of electric field for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.98$  without any perturbation [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is below Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 6. Space-time evolution of electric field for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.98$  with a small amplitude perturbation ( $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is beyond Coffey's limit, 0.3219).




FIG. 7. Fourier spectrum at different time steps for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.98$  with a small amplitude perturbation [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is beyond Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 8. Kinetic energy and electrostatic energy upto 1000 plasma period for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.98$  with a small amplitude perturbation [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is beyond Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 9. Maximum electric field amplitude and density amplitude as a function of  $\beta$  and its comparison with theoretical results.




FIG. 10. Velocity distribution function at different time steps for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.5$  [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is below Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 11. Velocity distribution function at different time steps for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.98$  [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is below Coffey's limit (0.3219)].




FIG. 12. Velocity distribution function at different time steps for  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta = 0.98$  with a small amplitude perturbation [ $eE_{max}/m\omega_p v_\phi$  is beyond Coffey's limit (0.3219)].